1. H. J. STETTER, "Stabilizing predictors for weakly unstable correctors," Math. Comp., v. 19, 1965, pp. 84-89. MR 31 #2833.

2. H. J. STETTER, "A study of strong and weak stability in discretization algorithms," J. Soc. Indust. Appl. Math. Ser. B Numer. Anal., v. 2, 1965, pp. 265–280. MR 32 #4859.

3. R. L. CRANE & R. W. KLOPFENSTEIN, "A predictor-corrector algorithm with an increased range of absolute stability," J. Assoc. Comput. Math., v. 12, 1965, pp. 227–241. MR 31 #6378.

4. F. T. KROGH, "Predictor-corrector methods of high order with improved stability characteristics," J. Assoc. Comput. Mach., v. 13, 1966, pp. 374–385. MR 33 #5127.

5. B. A. FUCHS & V. I. LEVIN, Functions of a Complex Variable and Some of Their Applications, Vol. II, Pergamon Press, New York, 1961; Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1962. MR 24 #A2654.

6. E. C. TITCHMARSH, The Theory of Functions, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1939.

7. ANTHONY RALSTON, "Relative stability in the numerical solution of ordinary differential equations," SIAM Rev., v. 7, 1965, pp. 114–125. MR 31 #2831.

8. F. T. KROGH, "A test for instability in the numerical solution of ordinary differential equations," J. Assoc. Comput. Mach., v. 14, 1967, pp. 351–354. (This gives the stability diagram for the method proposed by Stetter in [1].)

Midpoint Quadrature Formulas

By Seymour Haber

A family of quadrature formulas for the interval (0, 1) can be constructed in the following manner: For any positive integer n, we partition (0, 1) into subintervals I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n (I_1 being the leftmost, I_2 adjacent to it, etc.) of lengths $a_1, a_2,$ \dots, a_n , respectively. Now let x_k be the midpoint of I_k , for $k = 1, \dots, n$, and take

(1)
$$a_1f(x_1) + \cdots + a_nf(x_n)$$

as the approximation to $\int_0^1 f(x) dx$. The simplest of these rules is the "Euler's" or "midpoint" rule

$$\int_0^1 f(x) dx \approx f(\frac{1}{2}) \; .$$

We will refer to the members of this family as "midpoint quadrature formulas" and determine their properties. We first find their "degrees of precision"—that is, for any formula, the highest integer p such that the formula is exact for all polynomials of degree p or lower.

THEOREM 1. The degree of precision of a midpoint quadrature formula is 1.

Proof. The formula is exact for constants, since necessarily $a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n = 1$. To check the exactness of the formula for f(x) = x, we first note that

(2)
$$x_1 = \frac{a_1}{2}, x_2 = a_1 + \frac{a_2}{2}, \cdots, x_n = a_1 + \cdots + a_{n-1} + \frac{a_n}{2}.$$

So for the integral $\int_0^1 x \, dx$, (1) gives us

$$a_1(a_1/2) + a_2(a_1 + a_2/2) + \cdots + a_n(a_1 + \cdots + a_{n-1} + a_n/2)$$
.

Received December 5, 1966.

But this is just

$$\frac{1}{2}(a_1^2 + a_2^2 + \dots + a_n^2 + 2a_1a_2 + 2a_1a_3 + \dots + 2a_{n-1}a_n)$$

or $\frac{1}{2}(a_1 + \cdots + a_n)^2$, which is $\frac{1}{2}$. Thus the degree of precision is at least one. To show it is no greater, we calculate error in integrating $x^2/2$ by the rule:

$$\int_0^1 \frac{x^2}{2} dx - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \frac{x_i^2}{2} = \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \left(a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{i-1} + \frac{a_i}{2} \right)^2.$$

Multiplying out and collecting terms in the last sum, we obtain:

$$\sum_{i} a_{i} x_{i}^{2} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i} a_{i}^{3} + \sum_{i \neq j} a_{i} a_{j}^{2} + 2 \sum_{i \neq j \neq k} a_{i} a_{j} a_{k} ,$$

where the indices of summation run from 1 to n.

Now

$$1 = (a_1 + \cdots + a_n)^3 = \sum_i a_i^3 + 3 \sum_{i \neq j} a_i a_j^2 + 6 \sum_{i \neq j \neq k} a_i a_j a_k,$$

so that

$$\frac{1}{3} - \sum_{i} a_{i} x_{i}^{2} = \frac{1}{12} \left(a_{1}^{3} + \cdots + a_{n}^{3} \right).$$

It follows that

(3)
$$\int_0^1 \frac{x^2}{2} dx - \sum_i a_i \frac{x_i^2}{2} = \frac{1}{24} (a_1^3 + \dots + a_n^3) > 0,$$

which proves the theorem.

THEOREM 2. The error of a midpoint quadrature formula, for an integrand with continuous second derivative, is given by

(4)
$$\int_0^1 f(x) dx - \sum_i a_i f(x_i) = \frac{1}{24} (a_1^3 + \dots + a_n^3) f''(\xi)$$

for some ξ in (0, 1).

Proof. By a general remainder theorem (see, e.g., [1]) the error may be written in the form

(5)
$$\int_0^1 f''(t)K(t)dt$$

where

$$K(t) = \frac{(1-t)^2}{2} - \sum_{x_i > t} a_i(x_i - t) .$$

To derive (4) from (5) it is sufficient to show that K(t) does not change sign in (0, 1); for then we may write

$$\int_0^1 f''(t) K(t) dt = f''(\xi) \int_0^1 K(t) dt ,$$

and, taking $f(x) = x^2/2$, we see from (3) that

720

$$\int_0^1 K(t)dt = \frac{1}{24} (a_1^3 + \cdots + a_n^3) .$$

We shall show that, in fact, $K(t) \ge 0$ for $t \in [0, 1]$.

For t between x_k and x_{k+1} ,

$$2K(t) = (1-t)^2 - 2 \sum_{i=k+1}^n a_i(x_i - t)$$

= $(1-t)^2 - 2 \sum_{i=k+1}^n a_i(1-t) + 2 \sum_{i=k+1}^n a_i(1-x_i)$.

Now, in fact

$$2\sum_{i=k+1}^{n}a_{i}(1-x_{i})=(a_{k+1}+a_{k+2}+\cdots+a_{n})^{2}.$$

To prove this by induction, we need only show that

$$2a_k(1-x_k) = a_k^2 + 2a_k(a_{k+1} + \cdots + a_n)$$
,

which follows directly from the fact that

$$x_k = 1 - a_n - a_{n-1} - \cdots - a_{k+1} - a_k/2$$
.

Therefore, in $[x_k, x_{k+1})$,

$$2K(t) = ((1 - t) - (a_{k+1} + \cdots + a_n))^2 \ge 0;$$

and it can similarly be shown that K is nonnegative in $[0, x_1]$ and $[x_{n,1}]$.

It is easy to see that, given *n*, the coefficient $(a_1^3 + \cdots + a_n^3)/24$ in (4) is least when $a_1 = a_2 = \cdots = a_n = 1/n$, so that for any *n*, the "best" midpoint quadrature rule is simply the repeated Euler's rule.

National Bureau of Standards Washington, D. C. 20234

1. V. I. KRYLOV, Approximate Calculation of Integrals, Macmillan, New York, 1962, p. 77. MR 26 #2008.